UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,666	02/27/2004	Walton Fong	HSJ9-2003-0210US1	7551
74216 7590 04/10/2008 The Patent Law Office of Larry Guernsey P.O. Box 720247 Sep. Lose, CA 05172			EXAMINER	
			WEISKOPF, MARIE	
San Jose, CA 95172			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3664	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/789,666	FONG ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	MARIE A. WEISKOPF	3664
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 J</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This      Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the practice.	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1-5,9,10,12-27 and 31 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-5,9,10,12-27 and 31 is/are rejected 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed as a composition and accomposition and accomposition is described as a contract to the second as a contract to the sec	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 3664

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 4-5, 10, 12, 14-15, 17, 19-23, 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Teicher (US 2001/0032070) in view of Waibel (US 2003/0164819).
  - In regard to claims 1, 14, 15, 18, 21-23, 26, and 27 Teicher discloses:
    - A hard disk drive including at least one database (paragraph 19)
    - A digital camera (paragraph 19)
    - A display screen (paragraph 19)
    - Database software by which images and sound input from the digital camera and are stored in the hard disk drive as a personal log database, which can be displayed on the screen, where the personal log database may be updated by additional images (paragraph 19)
    - A portable translation device which includes an Optical Character Recognition engine, which takes input of graphic images of words from the digital camera in a language unfamiliar to the user and converts them to characters in the unfamiliar language and a dictionary module which takes the characters generated by the OCR engine and produces translated files

Art Unit: 3664

in a language familiar to the user, and outputs the translated files to the view screen. (paragraph 19)

Teicher fails to disclose a microphone and at least one speaker, a database storing sound and further outputting translated files to at least one speaker and able to download database information which includes images, sound file and text which act as a travel instructor. Teicher also fails to disclose a GPS module. Waibel, however, discloses a microphone and speaker, and outputting translated files to at least one speaker. (Paragraphs 25 and 28) Waibel also discloses being able to download database information which includes images, sound file and text and also a GPS module. (Paragraphs 27, 60, 68 and 73) It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the features of Waibel with the invention of Teicher in order to give a full service assistant device that is able to store pictures but further is able to track the user and provide relevant information and translate when the user needs this to be done.

- In regard to claims 4, 10, 17 and 25, Teicher discloses displaying movies.
   (paragraph 19)
- In regard to claims 5 and 19, Waibel discloses the at least one speaker plays sound files (Paragraph 25)
- In regard to claims 12 and 20, Waibel disclose a text-to-speech engine (Paragraph 28)

Art Unit: 3664

3. Claims 2-3, 13, 16 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Waibel as applied to claims 1 and 14 above, and further in view of Kennewick et al (2004/0193420).

Teicher and Waibel fail to specifically disclose a touch-screen display, the display screen displaying slides and an MP3 player.

Kennewick discloses a touch-screen display (paragraph 97, line 2). Kennewick further discloses a display screen that displays graphics and video, which can include slides and Moving Pictures Expert Group (MPEG) movies, a file format for compression of digital video and audio data (paragraph 97, line 2). Kennewick further discloses at least one speaker plays sound files (paragraph 18, lines 15-17).

As per claims 13 and 31, Kennewick discloses a device that can locate a music file and play it, the files could include MP3 files.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the aspects taught by Kennewick because they are well known in portable devices and would have been obvious to include.

4. Claims 9 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Waibel as applied to claims 1 and 14 above, and further in view of Harrington (US 2003/0145338 A1).

Although Teicher and Waibel disclose all the claimed elements as mentioned in claim 1, Teicher and Waibel fail to specifically disclose a producing HTML files and MPEG movies.

Art Unit: 3664

Harrington in the same field of invention discloses producing HTML files and MPEG movies, a file format for compression of digital video and audio data (paragraph 12, line 1).

From this teaching of Harrington, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the travel assistant of Teicher and Myers et al to include producing HTML files and MPEG movies of Harrington, in order to update a personal website designed to share experiences.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 14 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARIE A. WEISKOPF whose telephone number is (571)272-6288. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday between 7:00 AM and 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Khoi Tran can be reached on (571) 272-6919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3664

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MW /Khoi H Tran/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3664